

2021 WL 41923

Only the Westlaw citation is currently available.

NOTICE: THIS OPINION HAS NOT BEEN
RELEASED FOR PUBLICATION IN THE
PERMANENT LAW REPORTS. UNTIL RELEASED,
IT IS SUBJECT TO REVISION OR WITHDRAWAL.

District Court of Appeal of Florida, Third District.

CRATON ENTERTAINMENT,
LLC, et al., Appellants,

v.

[MERCHANT CAPITAL
GROUP, LLC](#), et al., Appellees.

No. 3D19-1643

|

Opinion filed January 6, 2021

An Appeal from the Circuit Court for Miami-Dade County,
[Reemberto Diaz](#), Judge. Lower Tribunal No. 16-30985

Attorneys and Law Firms

Warren Gammill & Associates, P.L., and [Warren P. Gammill](#),
for appellants.

Henderson, Franklin, Starnes & Holt, P.A., and [Shannon M.
Puopolo](#) and [Douglas B. Szabo](#) (Fort Myers), for appellees.

Before [FERNANDEZ](#), [LOGUE](#) and [GORDO](#), JJ.

Opinion

PER CURIAM.

*1 Affirmed. See [Saralegui v. Sacher, Zelman, Van Sant Paul, Beily, Hartman & Waldman, P.A.](#), 19 So. 3d 1048, 1051 (Fla. 3d DCA 2009) (reasoning that transaction is not indicative of a loan where repayment obligation is not absolute, but rather contingent or dependent upon the success of the underlying venture); [Oregrund Ltd. P'ship v. Sheive](#), 873 So. 2d 451, 456 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004) (observing that “transactions in which a portion of the investment is at speculative risk” are “excluded from the usury statutes”); [Hurley v. Slingerland](#), 461 So. 2d 282, 284 (Fla. 4th DCA 1985) (holding that where “a portion of appellee's investment was at risk ... the transaction was not usurious”); [Diversified Enters., Inc. v. West](#), 141 So. 2d 27, 30 (Fla. 2d DCA 1962) (“When the principal sum lent or any part of it is placed in hazard, the lender may lawfully require, in return for the risk, as large a sum as may be reasonable, provided it is done in good faith.”).

All Citations

--- So.3d ----, 2021 WL 41923 (Mem)